

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
According Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. PAPER NUMBER 4784 PATEL, KIRAN B EXAMINER ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 60,130-2045; 02MRA0104 ART UNIT 3612 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Daniel Hock CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009 10/26/2004 FILING DATE 03/03/2004 7590 APPLICATION NO. 10/792,050 26096

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/792,050	HOCK ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T
	Kiran B. Patel	3612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addre	prespondence address	T
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{3}$ MONTH(S) FROM 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	S) FROM hy filed	
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t cause the application to become ABANDONEE date of this communication, even if timely filed.	will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). May reduce any	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 September 2004</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	d on <u>20 September 2004</u> . b)⊟ This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ce except for formal matters, prox x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the merits is 3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
claim(s) is/are allowed.	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	and/or election requirement.	,	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	niner. accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner	xaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) induding the ∞nrecti	the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a).	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	documents have been received. documents have been received in Application	No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National application from the International Rureau (PCT Rule 17 2/2).	ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17 2/2))	I in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received	of the certified copies not received		
hment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413)	PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail DateNotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	

J.,

Application/Control Number: 10/792,050 Art Unit: 3612

٠,

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobsen et al. (4,792,180). Regarding claims 1-3, 5-6, 8, Jacobsen et al. (4,792,180) discloses in Fig. 1-3 having a recess (Fig 2), an inner layer (56) and a second car body part (42) placed the invention as claimed to include a first body part of the roof having an outer skin (12, 16) formed of at least partially deformable material, said outer skin

in the recess, wherein the second car body part is anchored in the recess by an interlocking fit between the recess and the second car body part, wherein said second car body part has an edge portion (50) that is curved in two opposite directions, wherein the edge portion being positioned within said recess; one anchoring protrusion (52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC S 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobsen et al. (4,792,180) and in view of ordinary skill in the art. 'n

Regarding claim 4, 7, Jacobsen et al. (4,792,180) discloses the invention as claimed.

٣,

However, Jacobsen et al. (4,792,180) does not disclose that the inner layer is reinforced with glass fiber and the outer skin is made of plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reinforce the inner layer with glass fiber and the outer intended use as a matter of obvious design choice to increase the strength of the worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the skin is made of plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of inner layer and avoid corrosion problems.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/20/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. H;

upon which applicant relies (claim 1, "the inner layer of the car body is closer to the In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show, teach considered an inner layer of a car body part because it is neither a layer attached or suggest certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features to an outer skin nor is it closer to the car interior"; "56 cannot be considered interior of the car than the outer skin"; "56 shown in Jacobsen cannot be

component of a car body part";) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). 'n

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

Application/Control Number: 10/792,050 Art Unit: 3612 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should 305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. m .

Kiran B. Patel, P.E. Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3612
October 15, 2004